	Advantage	Disadvantage	<b>Current practice</b>	<b>Research</b> questions
Electronic/automatic UO registration (1, 2)	<ul> <li>Automatically incorporated in the patient data management system.</li> <li>Continuous registration of UO.</li> <li>Automatic staging of AKI severity based upon UO criterion.</li> <li>Integration of urinary electrolyte monitoring and intra-abdominal pressure.</li> </ul>	- Additional costs for disposables and hard- /software.	<ul> <li>Not (yet) routinely applied in most ICUs.</li> <li>Applied in selected patient populations in some ICUs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>An ongoing study will evaluate the impact on errors and nursing workload (NCT03636113).</li> <li>Does automatic UO registration and AKI staging improve clinical outcome? (NCT0523045)</li> </ul>
Furosemide stress test (Urinary output in the two hours after furosemide 1 mg/kg in naïve patients, 1,5 mg/kg in pre-exposed patients)	<ul> <li>Accurate prediction of AKI 3 (AUROC 0,87) (3).</li> <li>Accurate prediction of the need for KRT (4).</li> <li>Furosemide frequently administered in AKI patients with fluid overload.</li> </ul>	- May worsen kidney function in prerenal AKI. To minimize this risk, intravenous substitution with crystalloids at a rate of one ml for each ml UO per hour during the six hours after the FST was advised in the original study.	- Increasingly incorporated in general assessment in most ICUs but not always in the correct dose.	- Is the renal response to other doses of furosemide also informative?
Kidney biopsy	<ul> <li>Detailed insight in the pathological alterations in the glomeruli and tubules.</li> <li>Improved insight in the underlying molecular mechanisms which may identify new biomarkers.</li> </ul>	- Risk of bleeding and other complications.	<ul> <li>Only performed in specific indications, seldomly in critically ill patients.</li> <li>Not routinely performed for septic or ischemic AKI.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk-benefit needs to be further explored.</li> <li>A multicentric prospective cohort study including all kidney biopsies for AKI is running (5).</li> </ul>
Urine sediment (6)	<ul> <li>Clear association between the presence of renal tubular epithelial cells and granular casts and the progression of AKI.</li> <li>Low costs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performance of the test depends on experience.</li> <li>Need for specific equipment.</li> <li>Automated microscopy probably less performant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rarely performed in critically ill patients.</li> <li>Studies done on subgroup of AKI patients, many not critically ill (selection by nephrologist consult)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Can automated microscopy add information in the assessment of early AKI?</li> <li>Additional benefit of serial microscopic evaluation? (7)</li> </ul>

	- AUROC to predict AKI progression 0.75.	(although not properly investigated).		
Biomarkers (8)				
KIM-1 (9,10)	<ul> <li>Marker of renal cell damage.</li> <li>Good prediction AKI and AKI severity.</li> <li>Associated with long-term mortality in patients with and without AKI.</li> </ul>	- Elevated in inflammatory diseases and chronic proteinuria.	- Not commercially available although a lateral flow dipstick that yields results within 15 minutes has been developed (11).	
Cystatin C (12)	<ul> <li>Stable plasma levels, almost fully filtered over the glomerular basal membrane and consequently a good marker of the glomerular filtration rate.</li> <li>Good accuracy to diagnose and predict AKI.</li> </ul>	- Different cut-offs used.	- Commercially available in most ICUs	- Define cut-off values for different clinical settings
NGAL (13)	<ul> <li>Marker of renal cell damage.</li> <li>Diagnosis of AKI and AKI severity.</li> <li>Studied in cardiac surgery patients, coronary angiography, ICU patients and emergency patients.</li> <li>Can be measured on urine and plasma.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aspecific.</li> <li>Lack of clear cut-off.</li> </ul>	- Commercially available in Europe but not widely used in clinical practice.	<ul> <li>Ideal cut-off must be identified.</li> <li>Cost-effectiveness needs to be further evaluated (14).</li> </ul>
L-FABP (8,10)	<ul> <li>Marker of renal cell damage.</li> <li>Accurate biomarker to diagnose AKI.</li> <li>Associated with long-term mortality in patients with AKI (14).</li> </ul>		- Not commercially available (except in Japan) (10).	

	- Can be measured on urine			
IL18 (8,10)	<ul> <li>Marker of renal cell damage.</li> <li>Good prediction of AKI and AKI severity.</li> <li>Associated with long term mortality in patients with and without AKI (15).</li> </ul>	- Elevated in inflammatory state.	- Not commercially available.	
TIMP-2xIGFBP7 (NephroCheck®) (16)	<ul> <li>Prediction of AKI development with very good accuracy when combined with clinical variables (AUROC 0.86) (17).</li> <li>Improved outcome when applying KDIGO care bundle in patients with an elevated TIMP-2xIGFBP7 in a single and multicentre study of cardiac surgery patients and a single centre study in patients with major surgery patients (18-20).</li> </ul>	- Diagnostic accuracy varies in different studies and might be affected by comorbidities (21).	- Commercially available in Europe and the USA.	<ul> <li>Confirmation of beneficial effect of KDIGO care bundle in patients with elevated TIMP-2xIGFBP7 and major surgery.</li> <li>Ability to select patients for other interventional trials.</li> <li>Cost-effectiveness to be further evaluated (14).</li> </ul>
Urine angiotensinogen	<ul> <li>Predictive for AKI, especially in decompensated heart failure (AUROC for AKI prediction 0.84) (22).</li> <li>Predictive for severe AKI and other adverse outcomes (23).</li> </ul>	- Uncertainty about the predictive value for AKI in populations other than heart failure (e.g., after cardiopulmonary bypass (24)).	- Not commercially available.	- Validation in other ICU populations.
CCL14 (25)	<ul> <li>Predictive for persisting AKI in patients with AKI stage 2 or 3.</li> <li>Validated in ICU population (26).</li> </ul>		- Not commercially available.	- Ability to select patients in whom initiation of kidney replacement therapy is beneficial as compared to watchful waiting?

Syndecan 1 (27)	<ul> <li>Biomarker for endothelial cell dysfunction.</li> <li>Association with fluid overload after cardiac surgery.</li> <li>Association with progressive AKI in cardiac surgery.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No data on accuracy to predict AKI progression.</li> <li>No validation studies.</li> </ul>	- Not commercially available.	- Can fluid management based on Syndecan 1 concentrations reduce AKI or AKI progression?
Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (28)	<ul> <li>Non-invasive, non- nephrotoxic bedside test.</li> <li>Quantification of renal cortical microcirculation.</li> <li>The degree of cortical microcirculation is predictive for severe AKI in patients with septic shock (AUROC 0.82).</li> <li>Useful to assess the effect of fluid resuscitation and vasopressors on cortical perfusion.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Time-consuming.</li> <li>Need for additional training.</li> </ul>	- Currently not widely used in critically ill patients.	- Can renal cortical perfusion be used to individualize treatment?
Real-time GFR (29)	<ul> <li>Real time information on the glomerular function.</li> <li>Information on the total plasma volume is also provided.</li> </ul>	- Additional costs for percutaneous detection.	- Not available for clinical use.	<ul> <li>Phase 2 trials in non- critically ill are running.</li> <li>If accurate in non-ICU patients, accuracy in critically ill patients needs to be further explored.</li> </ul>
Artificial intelligence (30-33)	<ul> <li>Separate predictions for AKI, severe AKI and need for KRT are possible.</li> <li>May be helpful to subphenotype AKI (34)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Large databases needed.</li> <li>May be less accurate in patients treated in other hospitals or other departments.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Several machine-learning predictions available but only few are validated.</li> <li>Not routinely incorporated in clinical practice.</li> </ul>	- Effect of AKI prediction on the clinical outcome remains to be investigated.

- All above diagnostic tests		
can be integrated in the		
models.		

**Table:** Overview of the diagnostic tools that are available or under investigation for AKI diagnosis. AKI: acute kidney injury, AUROC: area under the receiver-operating-curve, CCL14: C-C motif chemokine ligand 14, GFR: glomerular filtration rate, ICU: intensive care unit, IGFBP7: insulin-like growth factor-binding protein, IL18: interleukin 18, KIM-1: kidney injury molecule 1, KRT: kidney replacement therapy, L-FABP: liver-type fatty acid binding protein, NGAL: neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, TIMP-2: tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2, UO: urinary output.

## References

- 1. Minor J, Smith A, Deutsch F and Kellum J. (2021) Automated versus manual urine output monitoring in the intensive care unit. Sci Rep 11(1):8-12. Doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-97026-8.
- 2. Willner D, Goldman A, Azran H, Stern T, Kirshenbom D and Rosenthal G. (2021) Early identification of acute kidney injury in the ICU with real-time urine output monitoring: a clinical investigation. BMC Nephrol 22:293. Doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02485-w.
- 3. Chawla L, Davison D, Brasha-Mitchell E, et al. (2013) Development and standardization of a furosemide stress test to predict the severity of acute kidney injury. Crit Care 17:R207. Doi: 10.1186/cc13015.
- 4. Lumlertgul N, Peerapornratana S, Trakarnvanich T, et al. (2018) Early versus standard initiation of renal replacement therapy in furosemide stress test non-responsive acute kidney injury patients (the FST trial). Crit Care 22:101. Doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-2021-1.
- 5. De Boer I, Alpers C, Azeloglu E, et al. (2021) Rationale and design of the Kidney Precision Medicine Project. Kidney Internat 99:498-510. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.08.039.
- 6. Perazella M, Coca S, Hall I, Iyanam U, Koraishy M, and Parikh C. (2010) Urine microscopy is associated with severity and worsening of acute kidney injury in hospitalized patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 5:402-8. doi: 10.2215/CJN.06960909.
- 7. Varghese V, Soledad Rivera M, Alalwan A, Alghamdi A, Gonzalez M and Velez J. (2021) Diagnostic utility of serial microscopic examination of the urinary sediment in acute kidney injury. Kidney360 2:182-91. doi: 10.34067/KID.0004022020.
- 8. Desanti De Oliveira B, Xu K, Shen T, et al. (2019) Molecular nephrology: types of acute tubular injury. Nature Rev 15:599-612. doi: 10.1038/s41581-019-0184-x.
- 9. Han W, Bailly V, Abihhandani R, Thadhani R and Bonventre J. (2002) Kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1): a novel biomarker for human renal proximal tubule injury. Kidney Internat 62:237-44. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.00433.x.
- 10. Ostermann M, Karsten E and Lumlertgul N. (2022) Biomarker-based management of AKI: fact or fantasy? Nephron 146(3):295-301. doi: 110.1159/000518365.

- 11. Vaidya V, Ford G, Waikar S, et al. (2009) A rapid urine test for early detection of kidney injury. Kidney Int 76(1):108-14. doi: 10.1038/ki.2009.96.
- 12. Zhang Z, Lu B, Sheng X and Jin N. (2011) Cystatin C in prediction of acute kidney injury: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 58(3):356-65.
- Albert C, Zapf A, Haase M, et al. (2020) Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin measured on clinical laboratory platforms for the prediction of acute kidney injury and the associated need for dialysis therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 76(6):826-41. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.05.015.
- 14. Jacobson E, Sawhney S, Brazzelli M, et al. (2021) Cost-effectiveness and value of information analysis of NephroCheck and NGAL tests compared to standard care for the diagnosis of acute kidney injury. BMC Nephrol 22:399. doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02610-9.
- 15. Coca S, Garg A, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Koyner J, Patel U, Krumholz H, Shlipak M and Parikh C. (2014) Urinary biomarkers of AKI and mortality 3 years after cardiac surgery. J Am Soc Nephrol 25(1):1063-71. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2013070742.
- 16. Kashani K, Al-Khafaji A, Ardiles T, et al (2013) Discovery and validation of cell cycle arrest biomarkers in human acute kidney injury. Crit Care 17(1):R25. doi: 10.1186/cc12503.
- 17. Bihorac A, Chawla L, Shaw A, et al; (2014) Validation of cell-cycle arrest biomarkers for acute kidney injury using clinical adjudication. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 189(8):932-9. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201401-0077OC.
- Meersch M, Schmidt C, Hoffmeier A, Van Aken H, Wempe C, Gerss J and Zarbock A. (2017) Prevention of cardiac surgery-associated AKI by implementing the KDIGO guidelines in high risk patients identified by biomarkers: the PrevAKI randomized controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 43(11):1551-61. doi: 10.1007/s00134-016-4670-3.
- Zarbock A, Küllmar M, Ostermann M, et al. (2021) Prevention of cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury by implementing the KDIGO guidelines in high-risk patients identified by biomarkers: the PrevAKI-multicenter randomized controlled trial. Anesth Analg 133(2):292-302. doi: 10.1213/ANE.00000000005458.
- 20. Göcze I, Jauch D, Götz M et al (2018) Biomarker-guided intervention to prevent acute kidney injury after major surgery: the prospective randomized BigpAK study. Ann Surg 267(6):1013-1020. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000002485.
- 21. Bell M, Larsson A, Venge P, Bellomo R and Martensson J. (2015) Assessment of cell-cycle arrest biomarkers to predict early and delayed acute kidney injury. Dis Markers 158658. doi: 10.1155/2015/158658.
- 22. Yang X, Chen C, Tian J, et al. (2015) Urinary angiotensiogen level predicts AKI in acute decompensated heart failure: a prospective, two-stage study. J Am Soc Nephrol 26:2032-41. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2014040408.
- 23. Alge J, Karakala N, Neely B, Janech M, Tumlin J, Chawla L, Shaw A and Arthur J. (2013) Association of elevated urinary concentration of renin-angiotensin system components and severe AKI. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 8:2043-52. doi: 10.2215/CJN.03510413.
- 24. Moriyama T, Hagihara S, Shiramomo T, Nagaoka M, Iwakawa S and Kanmura Y (2016). Comparison of three early biomarkers for acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery under cardiopulmonary bypass. J Intensive Care 4:41. doi: 10.1186/s40560-016-0164-1.
- 25. Hoste E, Bihorac A, Al-Khafaji A, et al. (2020) Identification and validation of biomarkers of persistent acute kidney injury: the RUBY study. Intensive Care Med 46(5):943-53. doi: 10.1007/s00134-019-05919-0.

- 26. Hoste EA, Vaara ST, De Loor J, Haapio M, Nuytinck L, Demeyere K, Pettilä V and Meyer E (2020) Urinary cell cycle arrest biomarkers and chitinase 3-like protein 1 (CHI3L1) to detect acute kidney injury in the critically ill: A post hoc laboratory analysis on the FINNAKI cohort. Crit Care 24(1):144. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-02867-w.
- 27. Xu J, Jiang W, Li Y, et al. (2021) Association between syndecan-1, fluid overload, and progressive acute kidney injury after adult cardiac surgery. Front Med (Lausanne) 8:648397. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.648397.
- 28. Harrois A, Grillot N, Figueiredo S and Duranteau J. (2018) Acute kidney injury is associated with a decrease in cortical renal perfusion during septic shock. 22:161. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-018-2067-0
- 29. Schneider AG and Molitoris BA (2020) Real-time glomerular filtration rate: improving sensitivity, accuracy and prognostic value in acute kidney injury. Curr Opin Crit Care 26(6), 549–555. doi: 10.1097/MCC.00000000000770.
- 30. Flechet M, Güiza F, Schetz M, Wouters P, Vanhorebeek I, Derese I, Gunst J, Spriet I, Casaer M, Van den Berghe G and Meyfroidt G. AKIpredictor, an online prognostic calculator for acute kidney injury in adult critically ill patients: development, validation and comparison to serum neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin. (2017) Intensive Care Med 43:764-773. Doi 10.1007/s00134-017-4678-3.
- 31. Tomašev N, Glorot X, Rae J, et al. A clinically applicable approach to continuous prediction of future acute kidney injury. (2019) Nature 572:116-9. Doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1390-1.
- 32. Chiofolo C, Chbat N, Ghosh E, Eshelman L and Kashani K. (2019) Automated continuous acute kidney injury prediction and surveillance: a random forest model. Mayo Clin Proc 94(5);783-92. Doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.02.009.
- 33. De Vlieger G, Kashani K and Meyfroidt G (2020) Artificial intelligence to guide management of acute kidney injury in the ICU: a narrative review. Curr Opin Crit Care 26(6), 563–573. doi: 10.1097/MCC.00000000000775.
- 34. Chaudhary K, Vaid A, Duffy A, et al. (2020) Utilization of deep learning for subphenotype identification in sepsis-associated acute kidney injury. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 15:1557-65. doi: 10.2215/CJN.09330819